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ABSTRACT-
The characteristics of service industry make productivity management in such industries more difficult and challenging. Hotel industry being a part of such a service industry faces a similar problem. This study is aimed at analyzing and comparing the Productivity Management System adopted and implemented by the hotel industry of Hyderabad & Bangalore cities with an objective to identify the challenges in measuring productivity in the Hotels and to identify various methods adopted by them to measure employee productivity. The findings of his research states that although the industry is concerned with the issues related to employee productivity, the implementation of the productivity management system is not very effective. The major reasons contributing to the ineffectiveness of the system are lack of management will, lack of common parameters of productivity measurement and intangible nature of the product of the industry i.e. “Service”.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In the recent years, the word productivity has gained popularity in the business world. The problem of scarcity has a deep rooted impact on the economies of each and every business unit. Almost all organizations, either reactively or proactively, have become serious on their productivity. Moreover, in today’s world of cut-throat competition, every organization is striving hard to have an upper edge over their competitors. The organizations have realized that enhancing their productivity goes a long way in success of their business operations and thus productivity has become a matter of great concern amongst them.

1.1. Productivity Management System:

“Productivity is an average measure of the efficiency of production. Productivity is a ratio of production output to what is required to produce it (inputs of capital, labor, land, energy, materials, etc.). The measure of productivity is defined as a total output per one unit of a total input.” (Source – Wikipedia)

Although it looks simple from the face of it, productivity management is a big challenge to organizations especially when the product is in the form of a service. The characteristics of service industry make productivity management in such industries more difficult and challenging. Hotel industry being a part of such a service industry faces a similar problem. However, the hotel industry cannot just sit over the problem and thus have to find a gateway to deal with the issues of productivity
management in hotels.

Although there are various inputs that needs to be studied under productivity management, this study concentrates on labor as a parameter of measurement of productivity. Thus we are indeed talking of labor productivity or employee productivity or workforce productivity.

Employee Productivity Management is series of interlinked activities or tasks right from formulating a productivity management model to suit the organization to taking measures in enhancing the employee productivity.

Although a lot has been spoken about productivity management system, this area is still a neglected one in the Hotel industry. Thus there is a need to explore the Productivity management system adopted by the hotel industry. Also it is important to understand whether the system changes from various categories of hotels across different cities in India. This study this aims at analyzing the Productivity Management Systems adopted by the Hotel industry of Hyderabad and Bangalore cities.

1.2. **Comparison between Hotel Industry of Hyderabad and Bangalore cities.**

These two cities have been chosen by the researcher for the study due the similarity of characteristics of the Hotel industry in these two cities. This similarity may be seen in the following parameters in which the industry can be characterized.

- **Category:**

  The majority of the hotels in these cities can be classified under “Business Hotels” and thus the characteristic of business hotels are seen in the hotels of Hyderabad & Bangalore cities.

- **Clientele:**

  The major clientele of all business hotels is corporate and business travelers. However apart from this, the hotels of these cities have a clientele from the Information Technology sector and it is a known fact that Hyderabad & Bangalore are the upcoming hubs in the sector of Information Technology. This fact is visible from the study of hotel clusters that have developed surrounding the Information technology industry at various locations in these cities.

- **Classification:**

  The hotel may be classified as “Star Hotels” & “Budget Hotels”. Star hotels are further classified as Five star, Four star and Three star. The budget hotels cater to the clientele looking for economical options. It may be observed that since the target clientele of hotels in Hyderabad & Bangalore cities is the same, the availability of hotels in various classifications is also more or less similar.

Thus a lot of similarity is observed between the hotel industries of Hyderabad & Bangalore cities thereby giving us a scope for comparison.

1.3. **Employee Productivity Management model:**

A model is nothing but a skeleton or a flowchart of interlinked activities that becomes a guideline for developing any process. A model should be flexible enough to be modified as per the needs and requirements of the process.

1.4 **Challenges faced by the hotel industry in implementation of employee productivity management system:**

Hotel industry is a part of service industry and is characterized by certain features that create
challenges in designing and implementation of employee productivity management system. These features or characteristics needs to be studied in detail for the effective development of a productivity management system. These features are briefly discussed below:

i. **Intangible Product (Characteristics of Service Industry):**
While the term productivity is most often associated with the goods-producing-industries, it is critically important to monitor and manage this aspect of performance in the hotel sector as well. Productivity is a ratio between input and output at a given quality level. The inputs in the hotel industry may be in the form of man, money material & machine. All these components can be quantified or measured but this is just half done because the second aspect in productivity management is the output or the product itself and their lies the major challenge for the hotel industry because its product is intangible in nature which cannot be monitored or easily measured. The product of this industry is service and its measurement is hidden in the perception of its end users that is the customers which in the hotel language are termed as “Guests” Thus intangibility of the product of the hotel industry is the biggest hurdle in the productivity management process in this industry. Service as an output product cannot be quantified or mathematically measured and thus as per the above mentioned formula of productivity measurement, the ratio of input and output cannot be easily established. The only way to make measurement of productivity in the hotel industry possible is to make its output measurable and this is the major challenge in front of the industry.

ii. **Lack of Knowledge about Productivity Management:**
The management of hotel industry basically posses expertise in the technical area of hotel operations. They are the masters in the field of hotel. However, they lack the scientific and technical knowledge required for implementation of productivity management system in their hotel. Moreover, due the hectic and busy schedule of the managers, they are discouraged to acquire any professional training in the area of productivity management. Even if a person who posses the required knowledge is hired, due to his varied duties he is not able to devote sufficient attention towards the said issue.

iii. **Lack of common parameters of measuring productivity.**
As mentioned earlier, measurement of productivity in the hotel industry is a challenging task. However, experts have suggested a few parameters on which the employee productivity can be measured in hotels. These parameters differ from each other and different parameters have to be used for measurement of productivity in different operational areas of hotels depending upon its suitability. Thus to measure the productivity of the entire hotel a combination of all the parameters have to be used thereby making the process more complex. Thus lack of common parameters of measurement of productivity is a big challenge for the hotel managers.

iv. **Lack of scientific approach towards labour management.**
Hotel managers being originated from the operations, tend to be more inclined towards operational issues. Thus labor management is a lower priority to these operational driven managers. Moreover, their limited knowledge and scientific approach towards labor management becomes a biggest hurdle in productivity management.

v. **Lack of management initiative.**
Although productivity management is an important management task, hotel managers are not very keen on the same. As discussed earlier, due to the various challenges in implementing the same, managers tend to tackle the issue halfheartedly. Thus lack of management initiative is another challenge of the hotel industry with regards to productivity management.

vi. **Difficulties in measuring customer satisfaction.**
The most suitable parameter to measure employee productivity in the hotel industry revolves around the output of satisfied customers. Thus before calculating the productivity, one has to measure the satisfaction level of the hotel customers. This itself is a very complex task since every customer has a different perception of being satisfied and measuring the comparative satisfaction level of all the customers is a very difficult task thereby creating a challenge in productivity management.

vii. **Diverse operations.**
The operations of the hotel industry are diverse in nature. Thus every department is operationally different from each other. Since the nature of work, skill sets required, manpower requirement is different for every department, one cannot have uniform
parameters of measuring employee productivity thereby making productivity management in hotels more difficult.

1.5 Methodology adopted to enhance employee productivity in Hotels.

- **Transparent Productivity management system:**
  The employees should be aware of the Productivity Management System adopted by the organization. The various parameters of measuring the productivity should be clearly defined and adhered to. It a good practice to involve employee representatives in designing the system so as to make the system more effective. When the employees are aware that their productivity is being monitored by the management, they consciously or sub-consciously strive to improvise on the same.

- **Training & Development:**
  This is the most vital measure of improving employee productivity in any organization. This measure focuses on a positive approach towards the Productivity Management System wherein the employees are empowered to tackle the operational challenges. Training may be in the form of knowledge skills enhancement, adaptation to automation, stress management, general work approach etc. In all its forms, training aims at the holistic development of the employees. A well trained employee is gateway to success of any organization.

- **Motivation:**
  Along with regular training & development, motivation plays an important role in enhancing the employee productivity. When the employees get a feel that the management is acknowledging their efforts, they go an extra mile to prove their capabilities. The literal meaning of “Motivation” is “To move”, thus by motivating the employees, they start moving towards achieving the organizational goals.

- **Fair employment practices:**
  Adherence to fair employment practices is a key to achieve high levels of employee productivity. Fair employment, in a nut shell comprises of creating a better work place for the employees. It may consist of compensation, work culture, rewards & recognition system, employee welfare, employee relations, etc.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Juan Gabrie, (2010) used time-series techniques to estimate the long-run relationship between real wages and labour productivity in the Mexican tourism. The finding shows that the average labour productivity depends positively on real wage, and are weakly exogenous and causes labour productivity. Moreover, the impulse-response function shows that a positive shock in real wages produces a small negative effect in productivity for two years followed by a large positive one.

2. Christine A. Witt, (2010) discussed problems of measuring productivity, together with specific reasons for low productivity in the hotel sector. It is suggested that increased usage of operations management techniques by hotel management is likely to result in improved productivity, and various examples are presented of situations in which these techniques can be successfully employed.

3. Peter Jones, (2009) examining the level of productivity in the housekeeping departments in a chain of 45 hotels. The paper reviews the concept of productivity and the issues relating to its measurement, before reviewing previous studies of productivity in the hotel sector. A number of factors are identified that appear to affect productivity performance. The paper concludes that there is no significant difference in productivity levels according to the size, location, demand
variability or age of the hotel, thereby refuting evidence from some prior studies.

4. Osman M. Karatepe, (2008) examined the effects of negative affectivity (NA) and positive affectivity (PA) on work–family conflict and family–work conflict and the effects of both directions of conflict on marital satisfaction and turnover intentions. The findings of the study indicated that family–work conflict has a detrimental impact on marital satisfaction. However, this study provided no empirical support for the relationship between work–family conflict and marital satisfaction.

5. Ching-Fu Chen, (2007) analyzed the cost efficiency of Taiwan's international tourist hotel sector. A stochastic cost frontier function with three inputs (i.e. labor, food and beverage, and materials) and one output as the total revenue is specified and used to estimate hotel efficiency. The results reveal that hotels in Taiwan are on average operating at 80% efficiency.

6. Gunjan M. Sanjeev, (2007) provided exploratory insights on measurement of efficiency of the hotel and restaurant companies operating in India. The study also explores whether there is a relationship between the efficiency and size of the hotel and restaurant companies. The study identifies the top performers in this sector. Also, managers get important insights for their strategic and operational decisions to improve performance.

7. Carlos Pestana Barros, (2005) discusses, by means of data envelopment analysis, the efficiency of individual hotels belonging to the Portuguese state-owned chain, Pousadas de Portugal, which is managed by the enterprise, ENATUR. By identifying the efficient hotels in a sample, the slacks in inputs and outputs of the inefficient hotels and the peer group of efficient hotels, the data envelopment analysis stands out as one of the most promising techniques to aid the improvement of efficiency. Managerial implications arising from this study are also considered.

8. Hasan Kilic, (2005) report on an empirical research study which investigated the factors influencing productivity in hotels in Northern Cyprus. According to the research findings staff recruitment, staff training, meeting guest expectations, and service quality are the main productivity factors in hotels; while crises, technology, marketing, and forecasting are ranked relatively low.

9. Robert Johnston (2005) provides a structure for analyzing productivity in service organizations by distinguishing between operational and customer productivity. The authors also identified some of the problems in measuring productivity, especially in a service setting, and then use a few examples to illustrate the sometimes counterintuitive relationship between operational and customer productivity.

10. Marianna Sigala, (2005) aimed to illustrate the value of stepwise data envelopment analysis (DEA) for measuring and benchmarking productivity. The issues and problems regarding productivity measurement as well as the advantages of using DEA in productivity measurement are analyzed. Six inputs and three outputs are identified as the factors affecting rooms division efficiency in three star hotels.

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To explore the employee productivity management system followed by the hotel industry of Hyderabad and Bangalore cities.

2. To identify the challenges in measuring productivity in the Hotel Industry of Hyderabad & Bangalore.

3. To identify various methods adopted by the hotels of Hyderabad & Bangalore to measure the employee productivity and to check its suitability.

4. To compare between Hyderabad & Bangalore cities, the effectiveness of the Productivity Management System followed in hotels and the satisfaction level of the employees on the same.

4. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

1. This study is purely based on the information given by the employees and management of sample hotels from Hyderabad & Bangalore
2. The study is conducted in the current scenario and the opinions, perception and expectations of the respondents may differ with time.

3. The study does not differentiate respondents on basis of their demographic factors which may have an influence of their perception thereby identifying scope for further research.

5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Collection of Data:

The data required for the research was collected using the following techniques:

- **Personal Interviews:**

  The researcher conducted personal interviews with employees and Human Resource managers and employees of reputed hotel brands to explore the productivity management system followed in their organizations.

- **Questionnaire:**

  A questionnaire bearing straightforward and relevant questions was drafted and handed over to the sample to obtain their responses.

Sampling Techniques:

The population being “employees of Hotels” is more of less homogeneous in nature since the characteristics and service conditions of the industry are almost similar in nature throughout the population. With due consideration to this fact, a total sample comprising of 242 hotel employees from Hyderabad & Bangalore cities was selected for the study. The sample that was selected on random basis represented the ‘Manager’ & “Associates” categories of 22 hotels ranging from five star to serviced apartments.

6. FINDINGS

6.1. Preliminary information

![Graph showing agreement on the statement: Indian Hotel Industry is concerned about the issues related to employee productivity](image1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreement Level</th>
<th>Bangalore Managers</th>
<th>Bangalore Associates</th>
<th>Hyderabad Managers</th>
<th>Hyderabad Associates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can't Say</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph showing need of measuring productivity in Hotel Industry](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Need</th>
<th>Bangalore Managers</th>
<th>Bangalore Associates</th>
<th>Hyderabad Managers</th>
<th>Hyderabad Associates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1**

- The employees belonging to both “Manager” and “Associate” category in both the cities agree that there is a need of measuring productivity in Hotel industry.

- Employees belonging to both “Manager” and “Associate” category in both the cities agree in varying proportions to the fact that Indian hotel industry is concerned with the issues related to employee productivity.
Although majority of the employees feel that Productivity Management is a difficult task for hotel industry, majority of employees belonging to ‘Associate’ category in Bangalore city is of the opinion that it is not a difficult task for hotel industry. However, maximum hotels in both the cities implement the productivity management system.

Majority of the employees feel that Productivity management system adopted by their hotels is effective.

In comparison with employees belonging to ‘Manager’ categories in both the cities, more employees belonging to ‘Associate’ category feel that the Productivity management systems are not effective.

The implementation of the system is moderately transparent in hotels of both the cities. However, from the employees in both the cities who feel that the system is not transparent at all, majority of them belong to the “Associate” category in Bangalore city.
6.2. Productivity Management System in Hotels

- Maximum satisfaction of the employees of both the cities is on the parameter of utility of the employee management system by way of ‘Recognition of highly productive employees’ and ‘Identifying measures to improve productivity’.

6.3. Challenges in measuring employee productivity in Hotel Industry:

- Employees of Hyderabad hotels feel that “Intangible Product” is the major challenge for measurement of productivity in their hotel. Whereas, employees in Bangalore hotels feel that the major challenge for productivity measurement is “Lack of common parameters of measuring productivity”.

(Table 4)

(Table 5)
6.4. Methodology adopted to measure employee productivity in hotels:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis of Revenue generation</th>
<th>Total revenue generated per employee</th>
<th>Total food and beverage revenue generated per staff</th>
<th>Total food &amp; beverage revenue generated per hour</th>
<th>Average number of covers served per check-in hour</th>
<th>Average number of room sold per check-in hour</th>
<th>Average number of rooms sold per hour</th>
<th>Percentage of repeat guests generated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangalore (Managers)</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangalore (Associates)</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyderabad (Managers)</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyderabad (Associates)</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. SUGGESTIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the responses received by the employees of the hotel industry of Hyderabad & Bangalore cities on the issues related to Productivity management in hotels, the following suggestions & recommendations can be made:

1. The management of hotels should be keener on the implementation of the “Productivity Management System” by acquiring the necessary knowledge on the same and should hire experts to develop and implement the system for better results.

2. Proper parameters to be selected for measurement of productivity so as to get accurate results.

3. The employees should be involved in the entire process to strengthen the system and make it more effective.

4. Avenues for measuring individual employee productivity should be explored for identification of less productive employees so as to take relevant measures to train and develop them for enhancing their productivity.

5. The system should identify key areas that have a direct impact on employee productivity and should take measures to control them thereby resulting into higher productivity.

6. As a part of effective implementation of the system, the management should link remuneration of the employees to their productivity. Thus it will act as a great motivation to the employees to enhance their productivity.

8. CONCLUSIONS

The findings of the research can be concluded as under:

1. The hotel industry is concerned about the issue related to employee productivity and most of the hotels have the Productivity Management System in place, however, its implementation is not very effective.

2. The major reason behind the ineffectiveness of the system is the lack of management will and approach.
towards implementation of the system.

3. Measurement of productivity in Hotels is a challenging task and the major reason being that the industry is characterized by an “Intangible Product” i.e. “Service” and moreover the management of hotels lacks knowledge about Productivity Management.

4. There are several identified methods of measuring employee productivity based on “Revenue generation”, “Time frame” & “Guest Satisfaction”. However, the most suitable one is on the basis of “Guest satisfaction” and the same is used by most of the hotels.

5. The utility of the Productivity Management System is limited to identification of shortfalls and the same is not linked to the remuneration of the employees.

6. Employee productivity is measured on a collective basis and methods of measuring individual employee productivity are not in place.

7. The industry lacks common parameters of productivity measurement thus every hotel has its own model for the same therefore comparison between two hotels becomes difficult.
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